

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,623	09/15/2003		David Vella	4032.001	9766	
7:	590	01/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Stephan A. Pe			SLACK, NAOKO N			
Pendorf & Cutliff 5111 Memorial Highway				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tampa, FL 33634-7356				3635		
				DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 01/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/662,623	VELLA, DAVID	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Naoko Slack	3635	

	Naoko Słack	3635	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of the	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76		100(-)	i
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL		· ·	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
		<i>2.4</i>	.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause ::
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ecteu ciaims.	••
<u> </u>	` ''	anniont Amondment	(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below or appended.	If be entered and an e	explanation of .
Claim(s) allowed:			• ·
Claim(s) objected to:			:
Claim(s) rejected: 2-11.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		:	*. M-1-4
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		_	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>أث</u> vit or other evidence is نا	tibe entered necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	•
13. Other:	(148	
		Alaaka Staati	
		Naoko Slack Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 3635

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In applicant's proposed amendment after final, the word "comprising" has been changed to "consisting of" which changes the scope of the claims and would require reconsideration of prior art.